

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,667	05/01/2001	Subhash Gupta	54364	4777
7	590 09/07/2004		EXAM	INER
The Law Offices of Calvin B. Ward			MITCHELL, JAMES M	
Suite 305 18 Crow Canyon Court			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Ramon, CA 94583			2813	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/847,667	GUPTA ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James M. Mitchell	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
= H.H.	EF PRIMA	Alk Kielin RY EXAMINER				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

U.S. Paient and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: The drawing objection is maintained. While applicant is free to to show elements as a labeled representation where their detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, in this case, layer 22 illustrated in figure 1 on a first surface of a substrate, 21, deters from the proper understanding of the invention, which includes circuit layers being formed on and extending into the substrate. Thus while each IC does need to be shown, the positonal relationship of layer 22 with regard to the substrate needs to be shown with layer 22 protruding into the substrate. Likewise, the 112 rejection is maintained. While applicant contends that the feature is well known, and that it is not aware of any patent law that requires applicant to teach what is known in the art, applicant is directed to M.P.E.P 2163 [R-2] that to satsfy the written description, a patent "must describe the claimed invention in sufficient detail that one of ordinary skill in the art can reasonably conclude that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention." Simply because a layer of conventional components is shown drawn on a substrate's top surface in applicant's figure 1, that does not eliminate the requirement that one of ordinary skill in the art be able to conclude that inventor had possession of what it claimed. Since the claimed feature of an IC extending in the substrate is not an inherent characteristic, it is deemed unreasonable to assume that possession can be concluded by such a drawing or a mere statement that the layers "are constructed using conventional fabrication techchniques." The requirement of possession is not waived, because part of applicant's invention includes something that is widely known (i.e. the unobvious combination of old parts can create a new invention).